

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addres: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PARTICLE OF PATENTS Alexandra, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,505	04/13/2001	Shau-Lin F. Chen	4424/4526 5807		
75	90 08/20/2003				
Chief Patent Counsel			EXAMINER		
Engelhard Corporation 101 Wood Avenue			VANOY, TIMOTHY C		
P.O. Box 770 Iselin, NJ 0883	30-0770		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1001111, 110			1754		
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



DATE MAILED:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EX	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				13	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) \bowtie is extended to run or contigues to run 3 MON THS from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). MAILED ON Aug. 8 2003 Applicant's response to the final rejection, Red has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. X Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment X will be entered Will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed: 60, 62 AND 63 Claims objected to: NONE
Claims objected to: NONE Claims rejected: 1, 2, 9, 20, 21, 29, 34, 42, 48, 706 AND 709
However:
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): NoNE
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE CLAIMED SOX SORBENT COMPONENT AND THE NOX SORBENT COMPONENT ARE 0/3/10US FROM U. S. PATENT 5. 792 436. AND THE DESCRIPTION OF THE CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF THE SAME COMPONENT DOES NOT MANAY PATENTABLE TO THE AFFICIAL PROPERTIES OF THE SAME COMPONENT DOES NOT MANAY PATENTABLE TO THE AFFICIAL PROPERTIES OF THE SAME COMPONENT DOES NOT MANAY PATENTABLE TO THE AFFICIAL PROPERTIES OF THE SAME COMPONENT DOES NOT MANAY PATENTABLE TO TO THE AFFICIAL PROPERTY OF THE SAME COMPONENT DOES NOT MANAY PATENTABLE TO TO THE AFFICIAL PROPERTIES OF THE SAME COMPONENT DOES NOT MANAY PATENTABLE TO TO THE AFFICIAL PROPERTIES OF THE CLAIMED TO THE AFFICIAL PROPERTIES OF THE CLAIMED TO THE AFFICIAL PROPERTIES OF THE CLAIMED TO THE CLAIMED
presented. THE CLAIMS: PLEASE SEE THE DISCUSSION OF THE IN RE WISEMAN 596 F.21 1019, 201 USPR. 658 (CCPA 1979) SET FORTH COURT DECISION SET FORTH The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
Other W SECTION 2145 (U) W THE MPEP.
STANZEY S. SKYLERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 "U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62704